UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,934	03/02/2004	Jay S. Walker	03-026	3244
22927 7590 09/12/2008 WALKER DIGITAL MANAGEMENT, LLC 2 HIGH RIDGE PARK STAMFORD, CT 06905			EXAMINER	
			DHILLON, MANJOT K	
STAMFORD, (	21 06905		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		1 A 11 44 S	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/790,934	WALKER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MANJOT K. DHILLON	3714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  ply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ T 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matte	• •	
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 1-14 and 33 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are witho 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-14 and 33 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9)  The specification is objected to by the Exam 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 02 March 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	drawn from consideration.  d/or election requirement.  iner. e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documed 2. Certified copies of the priority documed 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burnt * See the attached detailed Office action for a light serior of the papplication for a light serior of the papplication from the International Burnt * See the attached detailed Office action for a light serior of the papplication from the International Burnt * See the attached detailed Office action for a light serior of the papplication from the International Burnt * See the attached detailed Office action for a light serior of the papplication from the International Burnt * See the attached detailed Office action for a light serior of the papplication from the International Burnt * See the attached detailed Office action for a light serior of the papplication from the International Burnt * See the attached detailed Office action for a light serior of the papplication from the International Burnt * See the attached detailed Office action for a light serior of the papplication from the International Burnt * See the attached detailed Office action for a light serior of the papplication from the International Burnt * See the attached detailed Office action for a light serior of the papplication from the International Burnt * See the attached detailed Office action for a light serior of the papplication from the International Burnt * See the attached detailed Office action for a light serior of the papplication from the Internation for a light serior of the papplication from the Internation for a light serior of the papplication from the Internation for a light serior of the papplication for a light serior of the papplication from the Internation for a light serior of the papplication from the light serior of the papplication for a light serior of the p	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application 	

Art Unit: 3714

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

This office action is in response to applicant's response filed on 6/24/08.
 Applicant amends claim 1, cancels claims 17 and 18 and adds claim 33. Claims 1-14 and 33 are pending.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 5-8, 10, 13, and 33, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Acres et al. (US 5655961).

Concerning claim 1, Acres et al. teaches a method comprising: determining a first time; determining a second time; and providing, based on the first time matching the second time, entry into a bonus game of a gaming device [column 25, line 38- column 26, line 24] wherein at least one attribute of the bonus game is different than the at least one attribute otherwise would be if entry to the bonus game was not provided based on the first time matching the second time [column 25, line 38- column 26, line 24]. A bonus game is interpreted as a game in which enhanced bonus payouts are outputted. The mystery jackpot and bonus time jackpot have different attributes.

Concerning claim 2, Acres et al. teaches a first time includes determining a reference time; and wherein determining a second time includes determining a current time [column 25, line 38- column 26, line 24].

Concerning claim 5, Acres et al. teaches including determining a type of bonus game deterministically [column 25, line 38- column 26, line 24].

Concerning claim 6, Acres et al. teaches determining whether a player has satisfied at least one criterion; and wherein providing includes providing, based on the first time matching the second time and the determining of whether the player has satisfied the at least one criterion, entry into the bonus game [column 25, line 38-column 26, line 24].

Concerning claim 7, Acres et al. teaches determining whether a player has satisfied at least one criterion includes determining whether the player has made a specified number of handle pulls at a gaming device [column 25, line 38- column 26, line 24].

Concerning claim 8, Acres et al. teaches determining whether a player has satisfied at least one criterion includes determining whether the player has made a specified number of handle pulls at a gaming device within a time interval beginning a specified period of time prior to the first time, and ending with the first time [ column 3, lines 13-37 and column 25, line 38- column 26, line 24].

Concerning claim 10, Acres et al. teaches determining whether a player has satisfied at least one criterion includes determining whether the player has wagered, at a gaming device, an amount of currency whose aggregate value equals or exceeds a

Art Unit: 3714

specified value, within a time interval beginning a specified period of time prior to the first time, and ending with the first time [column 25, line 38- column 26, line 24].

Concerning claim 13, Acres et al. teaches includes providing, based on the first time matching the second time, entry into a bonus game independently of any prior outcomes generated [column 25, line 38- column 26, line 24].

Concerning claim 33, Acres et al. teaches wherein the at least one attribute of the bonus game comprises at least one of a prize, a payout, and a win probability [column 25, line 38- column 26, line 24].

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

6. Claims 3, 4, 8, 9, 11, 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Acres et al. (US 5655961).

Concerning claims 3 and 4, Acres et al. teaches determining a first time includes determining a beginning of a next hour and/or determining a time that is a predetermined number of minutes before a beginning of a next hour [column 25, line 38-column 26, line 24]. Acres et al. teaches the bonus time schedule can be modified to encourage gaming activity. Setting the schedule to a beginning of a next hour and minutes before a beginning of a next hour are obvious variants and considered design choice to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Concerning claims 8 and 9, Acres et al. teaches determining whether a player has satisfied at least one criterion includes determining whether the player has made a specified number of handle pulls at a gaming device within a time interval beginning a specified period of time such as one hour prior to the first time, and ending with the first time [column 3, lines 13-37 and column 25, line 38- column 26, line 24]. Acres et al. teaches the bonus time schedule can be modified to encourage gaming activity. Setting the schedule to a beginning of a next hour and minutes before a beginning of a next hour are obvious variants and considered design choice to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Concerning claims 11, 12 and 14, Acres et al. teaches determining whether a player has satisfied at least one criterion includes determining whether the player has: paid in taxes to a gaming device, an amount of currency whose aggregate value equals or exceeds a specified value, within a time interval beginning a specified period of time

Application/Control Number: 10/790,934

Art Unit: 3714

ordinary skill in the art.

prior to the first time, and ending with the first time and/or a specified average rate of play at a gaming device within a time interval beginning a specified period of time prior to the first time, and ending with the first time, and/or paid a fee to a gaming device in exchange for insurance that the player will be provided entry into a bonus game [column 3, lines 13-37 and column 25, line 38- column 26, line 24]. Acres et al. teaches improved player tracking by recording each and every machine transaction including time of play, machine number, duration of play, coins in, coins out, hand paid jackpots and games played. The player tracking is conducted over the same network as the accounting data is extracted. This allows the invention to provide bonusing to certain individual players as well as during certain times. As with standard player tracking, the above-described system monitors and reports how many coins are played by each player. The system according to the invention, however, also includes the ability to record how long each player spends at each machine and the number of coins won, games played, and hand jackpots won by each player. Taxes paid to a gaming device, an average rate of play and paying insurance are all obvious variants to duration of play, coins in, and hand paid jackpots and are considered design choice to one of

Page 6

# Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 and 33 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3714

#### Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MANJOT K. DHILLON whose telephone number is (571)270-1297. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs., 7 AM - 6 PM, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Robert E Pezzuto/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714

/M. K. D./ Examiner, Art Unit 3714